

### **Collaborative Research** programme on Economics for **WFD Dr Jonathan Fisher Economics Policy Manager Environment Agency**



#### WFD River Basin Characterisation

**Draft methods and findings on:** 

www.characterisationqualityreview.org.uk

Will be udpated end March

For diffuse rural issues view (for rivers):

- Nutrients (N&P)
- Sediment
- Pesticides

View Morphology (rivers, lakes and transitional and coastal waters)



### **Economic Aspects of WFD**

For overview - see EC Wateco Guidance

Article 5: BAU Projections of pressures

- Agriculture: Phase 1 report on www.environment-agency.gov.uk/economics
- Water Demand forecasts based on EA report in 2001 'A Scenario Approach to Water Demand Forecasting'



### **Economic Aspects of the WFD**

#### Article 4:

- Assess cost-effectiveness of measures
- Aid determination of whether or not they are disproportionately expensive

#### Article 9

 Assess recovery of environmental, resource and financial costs of water services

## WFD Collaborative Research Programme on economics

### **Aims and Objectives**

- To develop methods to assess costs and benefits of options to aid decisions on River Basin Management for WFD
  - To assess cost-effectiveness of measures
  - To aid determination of whether or not they are disproportionately expensive
- A collaborative exercise with all key players

## WFD Collaborative Research Programme on economics

- 10 collaborators (**Defra** (lead),
   WAG, SE, DTI, EA, SEPA, EN,
   UKWIR, Ports, RSPB)
- £1m (possibly more)
- Workshops (overall programme (spring 04)), CEA (Summer 04 + end 05); two for scoping review (06)



### 6 sequential projects (2004 - 2008)

- 1. Scope Decision-making context and processes (Final report soon)
- 2, Cost-effectiveness **methods** and analysis (under way (2004 5))
- 3. Scoping of disproportionately costly cases and how to assess their benefits (start TOR soon for 2005-6)
- 4. Focus group specification of benefits and concerns (2005-7)
- 5. Guidance for assessing benefits (2007-8)
- 6. New benefits studies (2007-8)

# Implications and Research Issues: Decision-making Context

- Quarry of wide range of possible POMs
- Analysis of decision-making contexts for plans and processes
- Work through existing plans and processes
  - Need to focus on processes that actually make the most imp decisions on most significant pressures
  - Need link national and local processes of plans
  - Examine consistency of economic appraisal processes for diverse plans and processes



- **How** assess even-handedly costs and economic impacts and effectiveness across sectors, options and time allowing for:
  - Different economic structures and circumstances (water ind - ind - agric - other diffuse sources)
  - Uncertainties re effects and costs
- How scrutinise, collate and use data on CE in preparing POMs and RBMP?

# Possible complementary options of Agency agriculture

- Direct regulation:
  - Tightening consent conditions (eg abstractions)
- Voluntary Agreements:
  - Crop Protection Association re Pesticide
- Advice, Education and information (eg on best practice): Network of CSF Catchment Officers.
- Economic Instruments:
  - Input tax on N and P in inorganic fertiliser (as funding mechanism for CSF)



### **Project 3: Key study on scoping**

- Aim = sound basis for assessing C+B of potentially disproportionately costly cases
- scope and characterise potentially disproportionately costly cases
- critical path analysis of when need info to aid decisions on them
- parties' concerns regarding assessing C+B (eg rigour, costs and value added benefits of assessments etc)
- Examine alternative methods wrt criteria from above



### **Project 4: Specification of Benefits**

- In-depth discussions to clarify environmental benefits of options and specify them clearly and fully without double counting
- Link to existing valuation studies
- Precursor input to any new studies to fill gaps

creating a better place



#### **Conclusion: Links with RELU**

Re Inputs to CRP studies (projects 1-6)

Links to RELU studies esp re overcome methodological challenges (esp projects 2, 5 and 6) for subsequent analyses of policy options

Ensure studies are well linked to best aid decision-making contexts (project 1) and the scope and nature of the problem (project 3)

Will keep RELU informed of progress